


Concept - Error Highlighting in Configuration Tree

- [Feature Overview](#)
 - [Highlight potential errors in the tree](#)
 - [Allow iteration of potential errors](#)
- [Questions](#)

Feature Overview

Highlight potential errors in the tree



Node name	Value	Type	Status
apps	—	—	●
assets	—	—	●
subApps	—	—	●
chooseDialog	—	—	●
permissions	—	—	●
appClass	info.magnolia.ui.contentapp.ContentApp	String	—
class	info.magnolia.ui.contentapp.ConfiguredContentAppDescriptor	String	—
icons	icon-assets-app	String	—
name	assets	String	—

- If there are suggestions but the current value does not match any of the suggestions, and the `AutoSuggester` specifies to do error highlighting, then flag the value in the tree as a potential error by drawing a squiggly orange line below it
- [?](#) How can we style the error markup so that it is more visually distinct from the browser's natural error markup, which may be confusing?

Allow iteration of potential errors

- One possibility is to display a list of potential errors that allow double clicking on a row to navigate to the place in the tree
- Another possibility is to have an action to automatically expand the tree under the selected node so that all potential errors are displayed (alternatively this can be another view)

Questions

- [?](#) How can we style the error markup so that it is more visually distinct from the browser's natural error markup, which may be confusing?
- [?](#) Is it a bad user experience if the errors are only possible errors and are non-exhaustive?